

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/727,908	Applicant(s) JOHNSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Arezoo Sherkat	Art Unit 2131	

All Participants:

Status of Application: After Final

(1) Arezoo Sherkat.

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas M. Isaacson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 July 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

A. Sherkat

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a contact to Applicant's representative to check the status of the application, it is noticed that the statutory period on the Advisory Action has been mistyped. Therefore, a Supplementary Advisory Action is formulated and made part of the record without restarting the statutory period of the application. .